IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

XPERTUNIVERSE, INC.,)
	Plaintiff,)
v.) C.A. No. 09-157- RGA
CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,)
	Defendant.	<i>)</i>)

FINAL JUDGMENT

This matter was tried before a jury, commencing on March 11, 2013 with the Honorable Richard G. Andrews presiding. On March 22, 2013, the jury returned its verdict (D.I. 667).

Plaintiff XpertUniverse, Inc. ("XpertUniverse") filed a Motion to Alter or Amend the Judgment Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 59(e) and Motion for Attorneys' Fees Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285 (D.I. 702). Defendant and Counterclaimant Cisco Systems, Inc. ("Cisco") filed a Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law under Rule 50(b) and, in the Alternative, for Remittitur or New Trial Under Rule 59(a)(1) (D.I. 699); a Motion for Enforcement of the Parties' Agreement to Limit Liability (D.I. 697); and a motion for an order holding the patents in suit unenforceable due to inequitable conduct (D.I. 706) (together, the "Post-Trial Motions"). On November 20, 2013, the Court issued its Memorandum Opinion and Order on the Post-Trial Motions (D.I. 768-69). On January 30, 2014, the Court issued a Memorandum and Order (D.I. 773-74), which further addressed one Post-Trial Motion (D.I. 699) and amended the November 20, 2013, Order. (D.I. 769).

In accordance with the jury's verdict and the Court's pre- and post-trial orders, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that:

1. Cisco's "Expert Advisor" product directly infringed claim 5 of U.S. Patent No.

7,366,709 (Count I).

- 2. Cisco's "Expert Advisor" and "Remote Expert" products directly infringed claim 12 of U.S. Patent No. 7,499,903 (Count II).
 - 3. Claim 5 of U. S. Patent No. 7,366,709 is not invalid.
 - 4. Claim 12 of U. S. Patent No. 7,499,903 is not invalid.
- 5. XpertUniverse shall recover for damages caused by Cisco's infringement of XpertUniverse's patents by the "Expert Advisor" product in the amount of \$15,463.00, plus prejudgment interest at the prime rate, compounded quarterly, and post-judgment interest in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1961(a).
- 6. XpertUniverse shall recover for damages caused by Cisco's infringement of XpertUniverse's patent by the "Remote Expert" product in the amount of \$18,920.00, plus prejudgment interest at the prime rate, compounded quarterly, and post-judgment interest in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1961(a).
- 7. All other relief requested by the parties and not expressly awarded herein is DENIED.
- Judgment is entered for Cisco on all other XpertUniverse claims other than those 8. expressly addressed in paragraphs 1-6 above.
 - 9. Judgment is entered for XpertUniverse on Cisco's counterclaims.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 30 day of Jamany, 2014.

Whited States District Judge

1131815